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Abstract

Estimation quality, about how to locate and identify suitable components, is one of the major problems in component reuse. Hence, a user’s search request often returns a potentially overwhelming set of options, causing an ‘information overload’. This problem has at least three causes. First, some users may not have enough knowledge to express their needs in accordance with the system language and interface, i.e. to define a query to be processed by the system. Second, the preferences, which are collected at the time of a user’s request, are typically a subset of the user’s real ‘needs and wants’, because users usually do not like to input data. Third, users often receive poor support in analyzing search results, in comparing products and in bundling final choices. It becomes more critical as more reusable components come from component markets instead of from an in-house component library, and the number of available components is dramatically increasing. In this paper, we review the current component retrieval methods and propose our Conversational Component Retrieval Model (CCRM). In CCRM, components are represented as cases, a knowledge-intensive case-based reasoning (CBR) method is adopted to explore context-based semantic similarities between users’ query and stored components, and a Conversational Case-Based Reasoning (CCBR) technology is selected to acquire users’ requirements interactively and incrementally.
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I. Introduction

WITH the increase of estimation-rich resources such as product or movie reviews that have been publicly available, one emerging research field is opinion analysis, which relates to the study of people’s assessment on social issues or products. Many recent studies on opinion analysis aimed to analyze and extract opinions or component retrieval from customer reviews and present them in the form of sentiment-based or opinion-oriented summarization. In some applications, such as the film industry, people may care more about public ratings on their products, i.e., the proportion of people expressing positive opinions. This is a representative application of opinion polling, which gives quantitative indications of user’s positive or negative opinions on products or business. The goal of opinion polling (customer survey) is to discover customer satisfaction on a particular product, service, or business. This is traditionally done by carefully designing some questions for customers to answer. The drawbacks of such a structured survey are the expense and difficulty of question design and lack of participation because many customers do not like to participate in a question-based structured survey. To get around these difficulties, this paper focuses on opinion polling from freeform Software Component Retrieval customer reviews, without requiring designing a set of questions in the form of a survey. Sometimes people express their positive and negative opinions explicitly in terms of ratings, which can be easily converted into an opinion poll. However, nowadays people increasingly express their opinions in free form textual reviews without assigning any ratings. To analyze textual reviews, some previous studies attempted to predict the polarities of these user reviews by using supervised document classification algorithms. Some recent work has expanded polarity analysis on a multipoint scale under ranking or ordinal regression frameworks in the fashion of supervised learning.

Web services Language Independent, Protocol Independent, Platform Independent and it assumes stateless service architecture. WSDL is a stateless service model of synchronous. This protocols using Software Support System in aggregate provide the human service to solve the emerging problems. Any one person cannot solve the all repoting problems that reason implement the by this process integration of mixed service process and solve the problem in emerging of Trust process, the same problem long time run the process different solutions will be generated that time find the which one is the best solution is a mixed service or Trust process or Support Service System. In this approach using standard process aggregation model that time multiple people’s interaction between the same problem that time one person cannot solve the problem exchange the messages both experts also it is aggregate interaction system. The WSDL is provide process the service one system to another system interaction any system and language independence. A user will be interact the system is send the messages to support system, in this support system will be having two level of the message descriptions one is public errors and private errors means within the personal particles purpose generate the errors is a public errors any business and within the organization raise errors is private errors. In this system solve the any type error using web service i.e. is a called as aggregate service process. In these errors multiple experts support and solve the problem and mixed in this solution find the best Trust the process and provide the service for people, without defects implement the based on technologies. In this paper, we present the following key contributions:

Several methods have been put forward to address the component retrieval problem. Most of them assume users can define their component query clearly and accurately, which puts too much impractical burden on component users. Based on the analysis of current retrieval methods, we propose a component retrieval model combining knowledge-intensive case-based reasoning technologies and conversational case-based reasoning methods. Case-Based Reasoning (CBR) is a problem solving method6. The main idea underlying CBR is that when facing a new problem, we will search in our memory to find the most similar previous problem, and reuse the old solution to help solve the new problem. A CBR process can be divided into four phases: retrieve, reuse, revise and retain, as described in6. Our research, as reported in this paper, focuses on the retrieve phase.

II. Current Estimation Retrieval Methods

A estimation retrieval method can be described from three aspects: component representation, component query (users’ requirements) specification, and component retrieval process. A popular component retrieval method, named free-text-based retrieval, comes from the information retrieval community. In this method, components are represented as free-text-based...
documents, while a component query is described using keywords. The retrieval process is to look up the keywords in all component description documents. The components with most matched keywords will be selected. Vector space and indexing technology are used to facilitate documents organizing and matching. This method has low scores on both recall and precision. Researchers and practitioners have proposed to use general thesaurus to extend keywords, by including their synonyms and antonyms, to get more relevant components. In addition, general domain knowledge is also used to extend initial keywords to get more semantically relevant components. However, both of these two improvements increase retrieval recall at the cost of retrieval precision. The final method we want to mention in this category is faceted selection. This approach predefines a set of dimensions, called facets, which are used to classify components from different perspectives. Users can find their desired components by searching down the stratified categories. This method is getting increasing attention because it takes domain knowledge into account when designing facets. But there exists a design embarrassment: If facets are designed too simple or few, there will be too many components in final categories, which will ask users to select further manually.

III. The Conversational Component Retrieval Model (CCRM)

In this Conversational Component Retrieval Model (CCRM) includes six parts: a knowledge base, a new case generating module, a knowledge-intensive CBR module, a component displaying module, a question generating and ranking module, and a question displaying module. The knowledge base stores both component-specific knowledge (cases) and general domain knowledge (including a domain ontology). The new case generating module can set up a new case based on users’ initial query and their later answers to discriminative questions. Given a new case, the knowledge-intensive CBR module calculates the similarities between the new case and stored component cases, and returns the components whose similarities surpass a threshold (the threshold is specified initially and can be adjusted following the execution of the system). The component displaying module displays the candidate components to users, ordered by their similarities. In the question generating and ranking module, possible unknown questions are identified, and an information gain algorithm is used to rank the possible questions according to how much information it can provide if it has been answered. Then general knowledge is used to filter out those questions whose answers can be inferred from the initial query or previously answered questions. These ordered questions are further reordered according to some constraints inferred from general knowledge, for example, people normally prefer to answer the high level questions before answering low level ones. The question displaying module selects the most discriminative question, in order to optimize search towards a meaningful answer.

A. Product Selecting Estimation

There are at least two requirements on the mixed-initiative question-answer interaction in conversational CBR. First, displayed questions should be easy to understand. Second, the selected question should be the most informative or discriminative one. As to the first requirement, we predefine a question and its possible answers to each slot. For example, on the slot “has-image-file-type”, we predefine a question that “what type of images do you want to deal with in this component” and the possible answers, “BMP”, “TIFF”, “JPEG”, or “Text”. All the slots that appear in the candidate components, returned by the knowledge-intensive CBR module, but not in the new case are identified and transformed into unknown questions. Whether or not a possible answer is displayed to users in the conversational process depends on whether this answer appears in the candidate components. As to the second requirement, “selecting the most informative question”, we adopt the information gain metric to quantitatively measure the information one slot (question) can provide (if we know the value of this slot).

IV. Implementation

This project implementation using the estimation of customer reviews. Software is used to resolve practical problems, and software components are existing solutions to previous problems, so component reuse can be described as “trying to use the solutions to previous similar problems to help solve the current problem”. Therefore, it is very natural to use CBR methods to support component reuse. In fact, various types of CBR methods have been explored and found useful for component reuse. Object Reuse Assistant is a hybrid framework to use CBR to locate appropriate components in an object-oriented software library. In this framework, both small-talk classes and small-talk methods take the form of stored cases. The concepts in small-talk, for instance, c-class, c-method and c-data-spec, and their instantiated objects are connected together as a conceptual hierarchy. Though the conceptual hierarchy can be seen as a representation method combining case-specific knowledge and general knowledge, the retrieval process is knowledge-poor. Compared with these two CBR-based component retrieval systems, our proposed conversational component retrieval model has two advantages:
V. Conclusion and Future work

Estimation reviews is an important mode of user feedback that is ideally suited to many case-based recommendation scenarios. It is straightforward to implement, easy for users to understand and use, and it has been shown to be effective at guiding conversational recommender systems.

In this paper we have suggested the use of compound reviews to constrain multiple features simultaneously. We have described a technique called dynamic feedback, which is capable of automatically and efficiently generating compound aspects reviews during each recommendation cycle.

Future work based on time consuming develop the farther implement the service based application is very faster than proposed system and compatible and more clients interaction is possible most of people and countries also very easy to access is possible. We should provide the best service most of company’s third party service also.
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