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Abstract
In this paper, we have developed software analyzers tool for deriving several software reliability growth models based on Enhanced nonhomogeneous Poisson process (ENHPP) in the presence of imperfect debugging and error generation. The offered models are initially formulated for the case when there is no differentiation between failure observation and fault removal testing processes, and then continued for the case when there is a clear differentiation between failure observation and fault removal testing processes. Many software reliability growth models (SRGM) have been developed to describe software failures as a random process, and can be used to classify development status during testing. With software reliability growth, software engineers can easily measure (or forecast) the software reliability (or quality), and design software reliability growth charts. It is not easy to select the best tool for improving software quality. There are few SRGM in the literature of software engineering that differentiates between failure observation and fault removal processes. In real software development background, the number of failures checked need not be the same as the number of faults removed. Due to the elaboration of software systems, and an defective understanding of software, the testing team may not be able to discard the fault perfectly on observation of a failure, and the authentic fault may remain, resulting in a phenomenon known as defective debugging, or get replaced by another fault causing error generation. In the case of defective debugging, the error content of the software remains the same; while in the case of error generation, the error content increases as the testing progresses. Replacement of observed faults may result in the introduction of new faults.
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I. Introduction
Software reliability assessment is an important issue for planning release of high-quality software products to users. Many Developers have proposed software reliability growth models (SRGMs) to assess software quantitatively from fault data observed in software testing phase [1-2, 4-5]. Software reliability is defined as the probability of failure free software operation for a specified period of time in a specified environment. It is used to assess the reliability of the software during testing and operational phases. Software testing cover running the software and checking for unexpected behavior in software output. The achieving test can be considered to be one, which come out with the presence of the latent faults. The process of locating the errors and designing the procedures to detect them is called the remove errors process. The chronology of failure occurrence and fault detections can be utilized to provide an estimate of the software reliability and the level of fault content. In particular, Enhanced non-homogeneous Poisson process (ENHPP) based SRGMs are quite popular due to their mathematical tractability, and there have been a number of ENHPP-based SRGMs proposed by many Developers. In spite of the diversity and elegance of many of these, no single model can be readily recommended as best to represent the challenging nature of the software testing [8, 10].

In this paper, we have developed a generalized framework for deriving several testing-time and testing coverage depends on Enhanced Non-Homogeneous Poisson Process software reliability growth model which incorporate Change point. Change point is one of the interesting phenomenon on observed during software development. Inclusion of change point in software reliability growth modeling enhances the predictive accuracy of the model. Many Developers have incorporated change point in software reliability growth modeling. Firstly Zhao [6] incorporated change-point in software and hardware reliability. Huang et al. [5] used change-point in software reliability growth modeling with testing effort functions. The defective debugging with change-point has been introduced in software reliability growth modeling by Shyur [3]. Kapur et al. [7,9] introduced various testing effort functions and testing effort control with change-point in software reliability growth modeling. The multiple change-points in software reliability growth modeling for fielded software has been proposed by Kapur et al. [7-8]. A testing coverage based SRGM was proposed by Malaiya [7]. Inoue and Yamada [6] developed SRGM to describe a time-dependent behavior of a testing-coverage attainment process with the testing-skill of test-case designer. Although, the above mentioned developed models proved to be more accurate than the only time governing SRGMs, but they failed to incorporate the concurrent effect of time and Testing Coverage. Recently, Inoue and Yamada [10] proposed a two dimensional software reliability growth model that considered the simultaneous effect of time and Testing-Coverage based on the Cobb-Douglas production function. The Cobb–Douglas creation function has been extensively used to characterize the relationship of an output to inputs namely capital and labor. Yamada [10] modeling framework was not directly based on using mean value functions to represent of fault removal process. They discussed software reliability assessment method by using two dimensional Weibull-types SRGM.

II. Background and Motivation
Program testability and test coverage are related concepts. The first refers to the ease with which one may test a program while the second provides a measure of how thoroughly a test has covered all potential fault site is defined very broadly to mean any structurally or functionally described program element whose integrity may require verification and validation. The factors which impact program testability and test coverage include:
- Program complexity.
- Software tools.
- Test quality.

III. Our Analysis
From our studies, many existing SRGM can be unified under a more general formulation. In fact, model unification is a penetrative investigation for the study of general models without making many assumptions. In this paper, we present Enhanced Non-
homogeneous Poisson Process (ENHPP) models, which account for imperfect debugging and error generation, and show that previously reported Enhanced Non-Homogeneous Poisson Process (ENHPP) software reliability models with imperfect debugging and error generation are special cases of the ENHPP models. The ENHPP models are capable of handling any general distribution function, and are thus an important step towards the unification of the NHPP models, which rely on specific distribution functions. From this approach, we can not only obtain existing NHPP models, but also develop new ENHPP models. The proposed models are initially formulated for the case when there is a clear differentiation between failure observation and fault removal processes (ENHPP). While other NHPP models involve the concept of imperfect debugging, most ENHPP based SRGM are also special cases of the general models. The existing and proposed models have been validated and evaluated on actual software fault removal data sets [10]. The advanced models are based on the following basic assumptions.

1. The failure observation and error removal phenomenon is modeled using an ENHPP.
2. Software is subject to errors during execution caused by faults remaining in the software.
3. Each time a errors is observed, an immediate debugging effort takes place to find the cause of the errors to remove it.
4. The error rate is equally affected by all the errors remaining in the software.
5. When a software errors occurs, an instantaneous repair effort starts, and then either (a) the error content is reduced by one with probability , or (b) the error content remains unchanged with probability .
6. During the errors removal process, whether the fault is removed successfully or not, new errors are generated with a constant probability.

A. System Description

![ENHPP Model](image)

\[ n(t) = b * c(t) \]

Where \( b \) is the needed number of shortcomings in the programming (before testing/debugging starts), and \( c(t) \) is the scope capacity. The ENHPP model utilized by SREPT gives by default to reflect four sorts of inadequacy event rates for every fault. Inter flop times information acquired from the testing stage could be utilized to parameterize the ENHPP (Enhanced Non Homogeneous Poisson Process) model to acquire gauges of the inadequacy force, number of flaws remaining, dependability after discharge, and scope for the programming. When complexity metrics are available, the total number of errors in the software can be estimated using the fault density approach or the regression tree model. If the number of lines of code in the software is \( N \), the expected number of errors can be estimated as, [5]:

\[ F = NL * FD \]

The relapse tree model is an objective arranged statistical method, which endeavors to foresee the amount of deficiencies in a programming module dependent upon the static unpredictability measurements. The structure of the ENHPP model might additionally be utilized to join the appraisal of the aggregate number of issues acquired after the testing stage dependent upon unpredictability measurements (parameter \( a \)), with the scope informative content got throughout the testing stage (\( c(t) \)). The ENHPP model can also use inter failure times from the testing phase to obtain release times (optimal time to stop testing) for the software on the basis of a specified release criteria. Release criteria could be of the following types –

- Number of remaining faults - In this case, the release time is when
a fraction of all detectable faults has been removed.

Failure intensity requirements - The criterion based on failure intensity suggests that the software should be released when the failure intensity measured at the end of the development test phase reaches a specified value $f$.

Reliability requirements - This criteria could be used to specify that the required conditional reliability in the operational phase is, say $R_r$ at time $t_0$ after product release.

Cost requirements - From a knowledge of the expected cost of removing a fault during testing, the expected cost of removing a fault during operation, and the expected cost of software testing per unit time, the total cost can be estimated. The release time is obtained by determining the time that minimizes this total cost.

Availability requirements - The release time can be estimated based on an operational availability requirement [2].

V. Model Validation

To illustrate the estimation procedure and application of the SRGM (existing as well as proposed), we have carried out the data analysis of a real software data set.

1. Test coverage is the ratio of the number of potential faults sites sensitized by the test divide by total number of potential fault sites under consideration.

\[ \text{Test Coverage} = \frac{\text{Number of Potential Fault Sites Sensitized by Test}}{\text{Total Number of Potential Fault Sites Under Consideration}} \]

![Fig. 2: Test Coverage Function](image1)

2. Mean value function is the expected number of faults detected by time $t$ is equal to total number of faults in the program times the probability of detecting a fault times the fraction of potential fault sites covered by time $t$.

\[ \text{Mean Value Function} = \text{Total Number of Faults} \times \text{Probability of Detecting a Fault} \times \text{Fraction of Potential Fault Sites Covered by Time } t \]

![Fig. 3: Fault Detection Rate](image2)

3. Hazard Function is the failure occurrence rate per fault which accounts for product testability and test effectiveness.

VI. Conclusion

We have presented software reliability growth model based on ENHPP (Enhanced Non Homogeneous Poisson Process). First, phase space reconstruction can be used to analyze the rule of failure data, SRGM in testing phase of SDLC to ensure the most reliable software and quality. We have to develop ENHPP (Enhanced Non Homogeneous Poisson Process) model to find out errors and faults in the current and existing system. It will improve the quality and reliability of the software and moreover, it will eliminate the errors and faults in the current and existing system. Therefore the SRGM testing will become more authentic.
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